Case 2:	L5-cv-08441-JAK-AJW Document 126 File	ed 11/13/17 Page 1 of 7 Page ID #:1315		
	·			
1				
1 2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7		· ·		
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	WILLIAMS MEARS, individually and	CASE NO.: CV 15-08441 JAK (AJWx)		
12	as successor in interest to Michael Mears; and JOANNA WYSOCKI,	Consol. w/ CV 15-09586 JAK (AJWx)		
13	individually and as successor in interest	VERDICT		
14	to Michael Mears,			
15	Plaintiffs,			
16	VS.			
17	CITY OF LOCANCELES			
18	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipality; STEVEN BEUMER, an			
19	individual; JOSE PEDROZA, an individual; JONATHAN GAN, an			
20	individual; OFFICER SEFFEL, an			
21 22	individual; OFFICER LEW, an individual; OFFICER GIST, an			
23	individual; and SGT. WILIAMS, an			
24	individual,			
25	Defendants.			
26				
27				
28				
		-1-		

	·	
1	We, the jury, find as follows:	
2	$2 \parallel$	
3	3 QUESTION 1:	
4	Did any of the defendants use excessive or unr	easonable force against
5	5 Michael Mears, integrally participate in the use of ex-	cessive or unreasonable force
6	6 against Michael Mears, or fail to intervene in the use	of excessive or unreasonable
7	7 force against Michael Mears?	
8	8 Steven Beumer YES	NO
9	9 Jose Pedroza YES	NO NO
10	Jonathan Gan YES	NO
11	John Seffel YES	NO NO
12	Andrey Wilkins YES	X NO
13	13	
14	If you answered "Yes" to Question 1 as to any	of the defendants, please
15	15 answer Question 2 as to the Defendant(s) for whom y	ou answered "Yes".
16	If you answered "No" to Question 1 for all of	the defendants, please proceed
17	to Question No. 4.	
18	18	
19	QUESTION 2:	
20	Was any of the Defendant(s)' use of excessive	or unreasonable force, integral
21	21 participation, or failure to intervene a substantial fact	or in causing injury, loss,
22	damage, harm or death to Michael Mears?	
23	Steven Beumer YES	
24	Jose Pedroza YES	NO
25	Jonathan Gan YES	NO
26	John Seffel YES	NO
27	Andrey Wilkins YES	XNO
28	28	

1	If you answered "Yes" to Question 2 for any of the Defendants, please
2	answer Question 3. If you answered "No" to Question 2 for all of the Defendants,
3	please proceed to Question 4.
4	
5	QUESTION 3:
6	What are Michael Mears' damages for his loss of enjoyment of life and for
7	his pre-death pain and suffering?
8	
9	\$ 2.5 million
10	
11	Please proceed to Question 4.
12	
13	QUESTION 4:
14	Were any of the defendants negligent in their conduct toward Michael Mears?
15	
16	Steven Beumer YES NO
17	Jose Pedroza YES NO
18	Jonathan Gan YES NO
19	John Seffel YES NO
20	Andrey Wilkins YES NO
21	
22	If you answered "Yes" to Question 4 for any of the Defendants, please
23	answer Question 5. If you answered "No" to Question 4 for all of the Defendants,
24	but "Yes" to Question 2 for any of the Defendants, please proceed to Question 9.
25	If you answered "No" to Question 1 for all of the Defendants, and if you
26	answered "No" to all Defendants in Question 4, please sign, date and return the
27	Verdict form to the Court.

28

1	QUESTION 5:				
2	Was the negligence a substa	ntial fact	or in causin	g injury, los	s, damage, harm
3	or death to Michael Mears?				
4	Steven Beumer	χ	YES	<u> </u>	NO
5	Jose Pedroza	*	YES		NO
6	Jonathan Gan	*	YES		NO
7	John Seffel	X	YES		NO
8	Andrey Wilkins		YES		NO
9					
10	If you answered "Yes" to Q	uestion 5	for any Dej	fendant, pled	ase answer
11	Question 6. If you answered "No"	" to Ques	tion 5 for al	ll of the Defe	endants, but
12	"Yes" to Question 2 for any of the Defendants, please proceed to Question 9. If you			Question 9. If you	
13	answered "No" to Question 5 for all of the Defendants, but "Yes" to Question 1 for			to Question 1 for	
14	any of the Defendants, please proc	eed to Q	uestion 10.		
15					
16	QUESTION 6:				
17	Was the decedent negligent	?			
18					
19	_X_	YES		NO)
20					
21	If you answered "Yes" to Question 6, please answer Question 7.				
22	If you answered "No" to Question 6, but "Yes" Questions 2 or 5 for any of				
23	the Defendants, please answer Qu	estion 9.			
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1	QUESTION 7:
2	Was the decedent's negligence a substantial factor in causing his death?
3	
4	
5	
6	Please proceed to Question 8.
7	
8	QUESTION 8:
9	What percentage of negligence do you assign to the following persons: (Your
10	total should equal 100%).
11	
12	Steven Beumer
13	- Jose Pedroza <u>ID</u> %
14	Jonathan Gan <u>20</u> %
15	John Seffel%
16	Andrey Wilkins %
17	Michael Mears45_ %
18	100%
19	
20	Please proceed to Question 9 if you've answered "Yes" as to any defendant
21	for Questions 2 or 5.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
I	5

1	QUESTION 9:
2	What are Plaintiffs' wrongful death damages for their past and future loss of
3	Michael Mears' love, companionship, comfort, care, training, education, assistance,
4	protection, affection, society, and moral support?
5	
6	A. William Mears
7	Past loss: +medical \$ 750,000 Future loss: Funeral Expenses \$ 750,000
8	Future loss: +medical 150,000 Funeral Funeral 150,000
9	Future loss: Funda \$ 750,000
10	CM
11	B. Joanna Wysocki
12	Past loss: + medical / \$ 75000
13	Funeral 750000
14	Future loss:
15	CACIDES
16	If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1, answer Question 10.
17	
18	QUESTION 10:
19	Was the City of Los Angeles deliberately indifferent to the training of its
20	officers with respect to the use of the TASER or, with respect to positional or
21	restraint asphyxia?
22	
23	YES NO
24	
25	If you answered "Yes" to Question 10, please answer Question 11.
26	
27	
28	

6

1	QUESTION 11:		
2	Was the City of Los Angeles' failure to train its officers the moving force		
3	behind the injury, damage, loss, harm or death to Michael Mears?		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	Please sign and date the verdict form and return it to the Court.		
10			
11	REDACTED SIGNATURE		
12	Data: 11 12.17		
13	Date: 11.13.1 + Jury Foreperson		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	7		